



From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

## PCT

Abrahamsen, Robert M. WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02210 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE					WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)							
-						i	of mailing month/year)		<b>-</b> .	28.05.2004		
Applic B107			nt's file reference			REPLY DUE				within 3 month from the above date		
International application No. International filing of 17.11.2003					•				-	date (day/month/yea .2002	r) <sub>.</sub>	٠.
Interna A61E			nt Classification (IPC) or	both national classifica		DOCKETED						j j
Applic C.R.		D, IN	IC. ET AL.			JUN	3 2004			Confirmation		Initials
3.	This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International contains indications relating to the follow Basis of the opinion    Basis of the opinion   Priority   Non-establishment of opinion with regard   V   Lack of unity of invention   Passacration   V   Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(in citations and explanations supporting such   V   Certain documents cited   VII   Certain defects in the international application   Certain observations on the international   Certain observations on the int				wing  I to r  (ii) when the control of the control	novelty, with regardatement of allication may, befule 66.2 approp, see Rulents, see Rulents and see Rulents an	ard to nove the expir (d). riate, by ame ales 66.8 and Rule 66.4. Hor argument e 66.6.	ation of endmen i 66.9. ts, see ed on ti	ning And indicate of that the task according Rule 6	ustrial applicability e step or industrial me limit, cording to Rule 66.3.		1 1 a

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer** 

Mayer-Martenson, E

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)
Rasmussen, S

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4595





# 10/534960

# JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 1 6 MAY 2005

#### WRITTEN OPINION

I. Basis of the opinion

International application No.

PCT/US 03/36488

		•						
1.	Wit the file	receiving Office in response	he international application (Replacement sheets which have b to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as	een fur s "origir	nished to nally			
	Des	scription, Pages	i		1			
	1-4	, 6-13	as originally filed					
	5	, •	received on 15.03.2004 with letter of 15.03.2004	<b>4</b>	Į į			
				٠				
	Cla	ims, Numbers			£			
	1-3	5	as originally filed					
	Dra	wings, Sheets			£			
	1/4,	3/4, 4/4	as originally filed		3			
	2/4	₹	received on 15.03.2004 with letter of 15.03.2004					
2.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	ese elements were available	or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which	ch is:				
		the language of a translation	n furnished for the purposes of the international search (under F	Rule 23	.1(b)).			
			of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a translation Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).	n furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examin	ation (u	ınder			
3.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the international	al application in written form.					
		filed together with the intern	ational application in computer readable form.		3-7 - 10 			
		furnished subsequently to ti	nis Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to the	nis Authority in computer readable form.	•	i, .a			
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disc in the international application as filed has been furnished.								
		The statement that the inforlisting has been furnished.	mation recorded in computer readable form is identical to the w	ritten s	equence			
4.	The	e amendments have resulted	in the cancellation of:					

This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

the description,

the claims,

the drawings,

pages:

Nos.:

sheets:

5.





### **WRITTEN OPINION**

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

International application No.

PCT/US 03/36488

					₩.				
111.	Nor	n-establishment of opinion wi	th regard to	novelty, inventive step and industrial applicabi	ility				
<ol> <li>The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:</li> </ol>									
		the entire international applicat	tion,		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 16-35			, e				
		because:							
		the said international application not require an international pre	on, or the sai eliminary exa	d claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter mination (specify):	which does				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 16 unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):									
		see separate sheet			,				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are so inade	equately supported by the description that no mean	ingful opinion				
		no international search report	has been est	tablished for the said claims Nos.					
<ol><li>A written opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence lis comply with the Standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:</li></ol>									
☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.									
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
٧.	Rea app	soned statement under Rule licability; citations and expla	66.2(a)(ii) w nations sup	rith regard to novelty, inventive step or industrian porting such statement	al ,				
1.	Stat	tement							
	Nov	velty (N)	Claims	1,10-12,15	<u>.</u> .				
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Claims	2,6,8,9,13,14	. 9				
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Claims		*				
2.	Cita	tions and explanations							
	see	separate sheet		•	•				



International application No. PCT/US03/36488

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The various definitions of the subject-matter given in the plurality of independent claims, each reciting a different combination of limitations expressed at different levels of generalizations and largely repetitive, are such that the claims as a whole are not clear and concise. The requirements of Article 6 PCT, therefore, are not met.

Consequently, the different combinations of features recited in the plurality of independent claims do not allow to correctly identify "the claimed invention" on which an opinion in the sense of Article 33.1 PCT should be based.

Therefore, this presentation of a number of independent claims makes it difficult, if at all possible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought and places an undue burden to others seeking to establish the extent of monopoly requested.

For this reason a full substantive preliminary examination cannot be carried out until the claims meet Article 6 PCT.

In the following an opinion is given on the first independent claim and its dependent claims.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### Cited documents

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US-B1-6 287 306 (PENDAKANTI RAJESH ET AL) 11 September 2001 (2001-09-
- D2: US-A-6 030 382 (FLEISCHMAN SIDNEY D ET AL) 29 February 2000 (2000-02-29)
- D3: US 2002/072744 A1 (BOWMAN BRETT ET AL) 13 June 2002 (2002-06-13)
- D4: EP-A-1 151 726 (BIOSENSE WEBSTER INC) 7 November 2001 (2001-11-07)
- D5: US-B1-6 456 863 (FLEISCHMAN SIDNEY D ET AL) 24 September 2002 (2002-09-24)

#### V.1 Novelty

The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 1 is not new.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

an apparatus for ablating tissue comprising:

a shaft; and

a tissue ablating electrode (34) mounted on the shaft, the electrode comprising a first end portion (35) and a middle portion, wherein the first end portion is configured differently than the middle portion such that, when the electrode is energized, the ratio of a first density ablation energy that is emitted in a vicinity of the first end portion to a second density of ablation energy that is emitted in a vicinity of the middle portion is lower than the ratio would be if the first end portion were configured the same as the middle portion (cf. col.4, 1.60-64; fig.4)

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new (Article 54(1) and (2) EPC).

#### V.2 Dependent claims 2-15

Dependent claims 2,6,8-15 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

coiled electrodes are known from D2 (cf. col.4, I.35-49; fig. 2), the skilled claim 2: person would therefore also consider the implementation of the teaching of D1 in a coiled electrode catheter described in D2;

interleaved spirals are known from D3 (cf. par 45; fig. 8) and therefore the claim 6: subject matter of claim 6 is not inventive;

claims 8,9: a partially covered end surface of an electrode is disclosed in D4 (cf. par.19) for the purpose of prevention of edge effects. Therefore claim 8 is not inventive:

the subject matter of these claims is disclosed in D1; claims 10-12:

partially covered end portions and upper surfaces of end portions claim 13,14: substantially flush with the shaft surface are known from D5 (cf. fig .18) and therefore not inventive;

the features of this claim are already disclosed in D1; claim 15:

The subject matter of dependent claims 3-5 and 7 are neither known from nor rendered obvious



### **WRITTEN OPINION** SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/US03/36488

by the prior art cited.